$$
S(v) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v = (i,0) \text{ for } 0 \le i \le |x|, \\ 0 & \text{if } v = (0,j) \text{ for } 0 \le j \le |y|, \\ \max_{0 \le i \le |x|} \{ S((i-1,j-1)) + score(x[i], y[j]), \\ \max_{0 \le i \le |x|} \{ S((i, j - j')) - g(j - j') \} \} & \text{if } v = (i,j) \text{ for } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 \le i \le |x|, \\ 1 \le j \le |y| \end{array} \right\}, \\ \max_{0 \le i \le |x|} \{ S((i,j)) \} & \text{if } v = v_{\bullet}. \end{cases}
$$

Table 5.4: Smith-Waterman algorithm for local alignment with general gap costs

the framework of general gap costs. We shall see, however, that a quadratic-time algorithm $(O(mn)$ time) exists; the idea is due to Gotoh (1982). We explain it for global alignment; the required modifications for the other alignment types are easy.

Recall that $S((i, j))$ is the alignment score for the two prefixes $x[1 \dots i]$ and $y[1 \dots j]$. In general, such a prefix alignment can end with a match/mismatch, a deletion, or an insertion. In the indel case, either the gap is of length $\ell = 1$, in which case its cost is $q(1) = d$, or its length is $\ell > 1$, in which case its cost can recursively be computed as $g(\ell) = g(\ell - 1) + e$.

The main idea is to additionally keep track of (i.e., to tabulate) the *state* of the last alignment column. In order to put this idea into an algorithm, we define the following additional two matrices:

$$
V((i,j)) := \max \left\{ score(A) \mid \begin{array}{l} A \text{ is an alignment of the prefixes } x[1 \dots i] \text{ and } y[1 \dots j] \\ \text{that ends with a gap character in } y \end{array} \right\},
$$

$$
H((i,j)) := \max \left\{ score(A) \mid A \text{ is an alignment of the prefixes } x[1 \dots i] \text{ and } y[1 \dots j] \right\}.
$$

Then

$$
S((i,j)) = \max \{ S((i-1,j-1)) + score(x[i], y[j]), V((i,j)), H((i,j)) \},\
$$

which gives us a method to compute the alignment matrix S , given the matrices V and H . It remains to explain how V and H can be computed efficiently. Consider the case of $V((i, j))$: A gap of length ℓ ending at position (i, j) is either a gap of length $\ell = 1$, in which case we can easily compute $V((i, j))$ as $V((i, j)) = S((i - 1, j)) - d$. Or, it is a gap of length $\ell > 1$, in which case it is an extension of the best scoring vertical gap ending at position $(i - 1, j)$, $V((i, j)) = V((i - 1, j)) - e$. Together, we see that for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$,

$$
V((i,j)) = \max \{ S((i-1,j)) - d, V((i-1,j)) - e \}.
$$

Similarly, for horizontal gaps we obtain for $0 \le i \le m$ and $1 \le j \le n$,

$$
H((i, j)) = \max \{ S((i, j - 1)) - d, H((i, j - 1)) - e \}.
$$

The border elements are initialized in such a way that they do not contribute to the maximum in the first row or column, for example:

$$
V((0,j)) = H((i,0)) = -\infty.
$$