Algorithms in Genome Research

Pedro Feijao

Summer 2014

pfeijao@cebitec.uni-bielefeld.de

Lecture 7 - The Double Cut and Join Operation

Genome Rearrangements - Some History

Since the beginning of the genome rearrangement field, many models were studied. First, with only one operation.

- Reversals Watterson et al. 1982; Sankoff 1992; Bafna & Pevzner 1993; Hannenhalli & Pevzner 1995; Kaplan, Shamir & Tarjan 1999; Bader, Moret & Yan 2001; Bergeron 2001; Bergeron, Heber & S 2002; Bergeron, Mixtacki & S 2004
- **Transpositions** Meidanis, Walter & Dias, 1997; Elias & Hartman 2006; Bulteau, Fertin, Rusu 2011
- Block interchanges Christie 1996
- Translocations Hannenhalli 1996; Bergeron, Mixtacki & S 2005

Genome Rearrangements - Some History

Then, models combining more than one operation:

- Translocations and Reversals("general HP model") Hannenhalli & Pevzner 1995; Tesler 2002; Ozery-Flato & Shamir 2003; Jean & Nikolski 2007; Bergeron, Mixtacki & S 2008; Erdős, Sokoup & S 2011
- Reversals + Transpositions: Walter, Dias & Meidanis 1998; Christie & Irving 2001
- **Fusion/Fission + Transpositions**: Meidanis & Dias 2001
- Double Cut and Join (DCJ) Yancopoulos, Attie & Friedberg 2005; Bergeron, Mixtacki & S 2006.

DCJ Operation

The **DCJ operation** was proposed by Yancopoulos et al. in 2005.

It is based on the fact that lots of rearrangement operations can be modeled by applying two cuts followed by two joins in a genome.



Signed Reversal/Inversion



Translocation (*multichromosomal* operation)



Circular Fussion / Fission



Transposition

More than two cuts!



Block Interchange

More than two cuts! But...

Operations modelled with 2 DCJs

 Transpositions and Block-Interchanges can be achieved with 2 DCJs: an excision followed by a reincorporation.



Adapted from Braga and Stoye, BSB 2013

DCJ rearrangement problem

As usual, we are interested in the following questions:

- What is the minimum number of DCJ operations we need to transform one genome into another? (distance)
- Finding DCJ operations that actually transform one genome into another in minimal number of steps. (sorting scenario)

Genes, extremities and adjacencies

- A **block** (marker, gene) a is an oriented sequence of DNA that starts with a **tail** a_t and ends with a **head** a_h .
- Head and tail are called the extremities of a block.



In the graph representation, each extremity is a vertex and there is a black directed edge from the tail to the head.

Genes, extremities and adjacencies

- An **adjacency** is a pair of extremities, representing the linkage between two consecutive blocks *a* and *b*.
- Depending on their respective orientation, can be of four different types: a_hb_t, a_hb_h, a_tb_t, a_tb_h



In the graph representation, adjacencies are represented by grey edges between the extremities.

Genes, extremities and adjacencies

 An extremity that is not adjacent to any other block is called a telomere.

$$a^{t} b^{t} b^{t} b^{h}$$

In this example, a_t and b_h are telomeres.

Genomes

• A genome is set of adjacencies and telomeres such that each extremity appears in exactly **one** adjacency or telomere.

 $A = \{1_t, 1_h 3_t, 3_h 4_h, 4_t, 2_h 5_t, 5_h 8_t, 8_h 2_t, 6_t, 6_h 7_t, 7_h\}$

Graph representation:



Linear chromosomes are paths, circular chromosomes are cyles.

DCJ Operation

The **double cut and join** (DCJ) operation acts in the adjacencies and telomeres of a genome one of the following three ways:

(a) Adjacencies
$$\{pq, rs\}$$
 are replaced by
$$\begin{cases} \{pr, sq\} \\ or \\ \{ps, qr\} \end{cases}$$

(b) Adjacency $\{pq\}$ and telomere $\{r\}$ are replaced by $\begin{cases} \{pr, q\} \\ \mathbf{or} \\ \{qr, p\} \end{cases}$

(c) Telomeres {q, r} are replaced by adjacency {qr}, or the inverse operation.

DCJ Operation - Type (a) example





- Cuts: $1_h 3_h$, $2_t 4_t$
- Joins: $1_h 2_t$, $3_h 4_t$
- **DCJ operation**: $\{1_h3_h, 2_t4_t\} \rightarrow \{1_h2_t, 3_h4_t\}$

DCJ Operation - Type (b) example



• Cut: $2_h 4_h$ (telomere 3_t does not need a cut)

- Join: $2_h 3_t$ (new telomere 4_h does not need a join)
- **DCJ operation**: $\{2_h4_h, 3_t\} \rightarrow \{2_h3_t, 4_h\}$

DCJ Operation - Type (c) example



• Join: $2_h 3_t$

DCJ operation: $\{2_h, 3_t\} \rightarrow \{2_h3_t\}$

Adjacency Graph

- The adjacency graph was proposed by Bergeron, Mixtacki and Stoye in 2006.
- Similarly to the BP graph, it is very useful for solving rearrangement problems.
- The **adjacency graph** AG(A, B) is a graph where:
 - Vertices are the adjacencies and telomeres of A and B.
 - **Edges** connect corresponding extremities of *A* and *B*.

The adjacency graph is composed by cycles and (odd and even) paths.

Adjacency Graph



DCJ distance with the Adjacency Graph

- When A and B are the same, in AG(A, B) there are only:
 - Cycles of length 2 (common adjacencies)
 - Paths of length 1 (common telomeres).



DCJ Distance

Lemma (Bergeron, Mixtacki, Stoye, 2006)

Genomes A and B are the same $\iff N = C + 1/2$, where N is the number of genes, C is the number of cycles and I the number of odd paths in AG(A, B).

Corollary: when A and B are different, N > C + 1/2.

Proof?

Effect of a DCJ Operation in AG(A, B)

The application of one DCJ operation can change the graph AG(A, B) in the following ways:

- # of odd paths by -2, 0 or +2. $\Delta I = -2$, 0, +2
- # of cycles by -1, 0 or +1. $\Delta C = -1$, 0, +1
- No DCJ changes odd paths and cycles *at the same time*.

Therefore, we have: $\Delta(C + I/2) = -1, 0, +1.$

When two genomes are the same, we have that N - (C + I/2) = 0, which results in the following lower bound:

$$d_{\rm DCJ}(A,B) \geq N - (C + 1/2)$$

Increasing Cycles and Odd Paths in AG(A, B)

■ If an adjacency *pq* in *B* is not present in *A*, then in *AG*(*A*, *B*) the vertex *pq* in *B* will be connected to two different vertices in *A*.



• Can we apply a DCJ operation in *A* that creates the adjacency *pq*, also increasing the number of cycles or odd paths in *AG*(*A*, *B*)?

DCJ in AG(A, B), Type (a)



- Type (a) operation: $\{pr, qs\} \rightarrow \{pq, rs\}$
- $\Delta C = +1$, and the other component mantains the type and parity.

DCJ in AG(A, B), Type (b)



- Type (b) operation: $\{pr, q\} \rightarrow \{pq, r\}$
- $\Delta C = +1$, and the original path mantains its parity.

DCJ in AG(A, B), Type (c)



- Type (c) operation: $\{p, q\} \rightarrow \{pq\}$
- $\Delta C = +1$, and the original even path is gone.

Another type (c) operation

If all adjacencies of *B* exist in *A*, there is still one last possible case:



• Type (c) operation: $\{pq\} \rightarrow \{p, q\}$

• $\Delta I = +2$, and the original even path is gone.

Building a DCJ Algorithm

Since in all cases we can always find a DCJ that increases (C + I/2) by 1, this can be used to build a greedy algorithm that performs these kind of operations until A in transformed into B.

Algorithm 1 (Greedy sorting by DCJ)

- 1: for each adjacency $\{p,q\}$ in genome B do
- 2: let u be the element of genome A that contains p
- 3: let v be the element of genome A that contains q
- 4: **if** $u \neq v$ **then**
- 5: replace u and v in A by $\{p,q\}$ and $(u \setminus \{p\}) \cup (v \setminus \{q\})$
- 6: **end if**
- 7: end for
- 8: for each telomere $\{p\}$ in genome B do
- 9: let u be the element of genome A that contains p
- 10: **if** u is an adjacency **then**
- 11: replace u in A by $\{p\}$ and $(u \setminus \{p\})$
- 12: end if

13: end for

Bergeron, Mixtacki & Stoye, 2006

DCJ Distance

- Is this algorithm optimal?
- Since it can always increase (C + I/2) by one at each step, it is not difficult to show that it always transforms A into B in N (C + I/2) steps, which is the lower bound.
- That means that the algorithm is optimal, and the DCJ distance is given by

$$d_{\rm DCJ}(A,B) = N - (C + I/2)$$

Example



Examples of sorting DCJ operations from A to B:

• Type (a): $\{1_h 2_h, 2_t 3_h\} \rightarrow \{2_h 3_h, 1_h 2_t\}$

Type (b):
$$\{1_t, 2_t 3_h\} \rightarrow \{1_t 2_t, 3_h\}$$

• Type (c):
$$\{3_t, 4_t\} \rightarrow \{3_t 4_t\}$$