1 Which of the following statements about the inversion model are true?

The inversion distance depends only on the number of cycles in the relational diagram.
Every bad component in the diagram is a hurdle.
C A good component can always be sorted with (safe) split inversions.
A super hurdle can be optimally sorted with a neutral inversion.

E A diagram with an even number of bad components can be a fortress.

# Topics of today:

Canonical inversion distance and sorting:

- 1. Component tree
- 2. Circularizing linear chromosomes

Singular DCJ-indel distance and sorting:

- 1. Indels: insertions and deletions
- 2. Relational graph of singular genomes
- 3. Runs

#### Chained and nested components on the relational diagram

Alternative to component separation: chaining and nesting relationships between components

sequence of components  $K_1, K_2, ..., K_\ell$ 

**Chain:**  $\begin{cases} \text{the rightmost adjacency-edge of } K_i \text{ is succeeded by the leftmost adjacency-edge of } K_{i+1}, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq \ell \end{cases}$ 

Maximal chain: cannot be extended to the left nor to the right.

A maximal chain H is **nested** in a component K when the leftmost adjacency-edge of H is preceded by an adjacency-edge of K and the rightmost adjacency-edge of H is succeeded by an adjacency-edge of K.



# Chained component tree $\Upsilon_{\blacksquare}$ (rooted)



- 1. One round node per component  $K_i$ :  $\begin{cases}
  bad node (\circ): K_i \text{ is a bad component;} \\
  good node (\bullet): K_i \text{ is a trivial or a good component.}
  \end{cases}$
- One square (■) node per maximal chain H<sub>i</sub>, whose children are the round nodes corresponding to the components of H<sub>i</sub>. A square node is either the root or a child of the component in which H<sub>1</sub> is nested.



# Component tree $\Upsilon_{\circ}$ (unrooted)

Max-flower: maximal connected subgraph composed of good and/or square nodes only.

**Contraction** of  $\Upsilon_{\blacksquare}$  into unrooted  $\Upsilon_{\circ}$ : for each max-flower *F* of  $\Upsilon_{\blacksquare}$ :

- 1. Replace F by a single good round node g, such that g is connected to all bad nodes connected to F
- 2.  $\begin{cases} If g \text{ has exactly two neighbors } b_1 \text{ and } b_2: \text{ remove } g \text{ from the tree and connect } b_1 \text{ to } b_2; \\ If g \text{ is a leaf: simply remove } g \text{ from the tree (all leaves in } \Upsilon_\circ \text{ are bad}). \end{cases}$



### Cost of covering the component tree $\Upsilon_{\circ}$

path P in  $\Upsilon_{\circ}$ :  $\begin{cases}
\text{short: contains a single bad node} \\
\text{long: contains at least two bad nodes} \\
\text{cost of path } P: \tau(P) \begin{cases}
P \text{ is short: } \tau(P) = 1 \text{ (cut a bad component)} \\
P \text{ is long: } \tau(P) = 2 \text{ (merge two or more components)} \\
\text{Cover of } \Upsilon_{\circ}: \text{ set of paths } \widehat{\mathcal{P}} \text{ such that each bad node of } \Upsilon_{\circ} \text{ is contained in at least one path } P \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}} \\
\text{Cost of cover } \widehat{\mathcal{P}}: \tau(\widehat{\mathcal{P}}) = \sum_{P \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}} \tau(P) \\
\end{cases}$ 

Cost of an optimal cover of  $\Upsilon_{\! o} {:}$ 

$$\tau(\Upsilon_{\circ}) = \min_{\widehat{\mathcal{P}} \text{ is a cover of } \Upsilon_{\circ}} \tau(\widehat{\mathcal{P}})$$



# Covering the component tree $\Upsilon_{\! \circ}$

 $\mathcal{L}$ : # of leaves in  $\Upsilon_{\circ}$ ; Branching node of  $\Upsilon_{\circ}$ : any node whose degree is  $\geq 3$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Leaf-branch of } \Upsilon_{\circ} \colon \begin{cases} \mbox{if } \mathcal{L} \leq 2 \colon \mbox{the complete tree } \Upsilon_{\circ} \\ \mbox{if } \mathcal{L} \geq 3 \colon \mbox{maximal path } u_1, u_2, ..., u_k, \mbox{ such that } u_1 \mbox{ is a leaf of } \Upsilon_{\circ} \mbox{ and}, \\ \mbox{ for } i = 2, ..., k, \mbox{ the degree of internal node } u_i \mbox{ in } \mathsf{T} \mbox{ is two} \end{cases}$ 

A leaf-branch may be a path of length 1 (a leaf directly connected to a branching node of  $\Upsilon_{\circ}$ )

Traversal: path connecting two leaves of  $\Upsilon_{\!\scriptscriptstyle O}$ 

Suppose  $\mathcal{L} = 2, 4, 6, ...$ :

 $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{_{\!\!T}}(\Upsilon_{\circ}) \text{: smallest set of traversals covering all nodes of } \Upsilon_{\circ} \, : \, |\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{_{\!\!T}}(\Upsilon_{\circ})| = \frac{\mathcal{L}}{2}$ 

```
COVERTREEWITHTRAVERSALS

Input: unrooted tree \Upsilon_{\circ} with \mathcal{L} = 2n leaves

Output: set \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{r} of n traversals covering all nodes of \Upsilon_{\circ}

Based on any planar view of \Upsilon_{\circ}, enumerate the leaves from 1 to 2n in circular order;

\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{r} = \emptyset;

for i = 1 to n do

\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{r} = \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{r} \cup \{\text{traversal connecting leaves } i \text{ and } i + n\};

Return \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{r};
```

# Computing $\tau(\Upsilon_{\circ})$

Lower bound for the cost of an optimal cover of  $\Upsilon_{\circ}$ :  $\tau(\Upsilon_{\circ}) \geq \mathcal{L}$ 

Each traversal T has cost  $\tau(T) = 2$ 

If  $\mathcal{L}$  is even,  $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{T}(\Upsilon_{\circ})$  is an optimal cover:  $\Rightarrow \tau(\Upsilon_{\circ}) = \tau\left(\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{T}(\Upsilon_{\circ})\right) = 2\frac{\mathcal{L}}{2} = \mathcal{L}$ 

If  $\mathcal{L}$  is odd and  $\Upsilon_{\circ}$  has a short leaf-branch s ( $\tau(s) = 1$ ):  $\Rightarrow \tau(\Upsilon_{\circ}) = \tau\left(\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{_{\mathrm{T}}}(\Upsilon_{\circ} \setminus s)\right) + \tau(s) = 2\frac{\mathcal{L}-1}{2} + 1 = \mathcal{L}$ 

If  $\mathcal{L}$  is odd and  $\Upsilon_{\circ}$  has no short leaf-branch ("fortress"); let  $\ell$  be any long leaf-branch of  $\Upsilon_{\circ}$  ( $\tau(\ell) = 2$ ):  $\Rightarrow \tau(\Upsilon_{\circ}) = \tau\left(\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathbb{T}}(\Upsilon_{\circ} \setminus \ell)\right) + \tau(\ell) = 2\frac{\mathcal{L}-1}{2} + 2 = \mathcal{L} + 1$ 

The cost of any optimal cover of  $\Upsilon_{\circ}$  is:

$$\tau(\Upsilon_{\circ}) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{L} + 1 & \text{if } \mathcal{L} \text{ is odd and all leaf-branches are long ("fortress"),} \\ \mathcal{L} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

# Canonical inversion distance

$$\mathsf{d}_{\scriptscriptstyle\mathrm{INV}}(\mathbb{A},\mathbb{B})=n-|\mathcal{C}|+ au_*$$

where

 $au_* = au(\Upsilon_{\circ}(\mathbb{A},\mathbb{B})) = h + f$ 

#### Components are framed conserved intervals

Assuming that  $\mathbb{B} = (1 \ 2 \ 3 \ \dots \ 16)$ , let us identify its framed conserved intervals with respect to

 $\mathbb{A} = (1 \quad \overline{4} \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 5 \quad 7 \quad 6 \quad 8 \quad \overline{16} \quad \overline{14} \quad \overline{15} \quad \overline{13} \quad \overline{11} \quad \overline{12} \quad \overline{10} \quad 9)$ 

For given  $i \ge 1$  and  $j \ge 1$  such that  $i+j \le n+1$ :

**Conserved interval:** interval of A composed of values i, i+1, ..., i+j (assuming  $n+1 \equiv 1$ )

```
Framed conserved interval \begin{cases} \text{direct: first element is } i \text{ and last element is } i+j; \text{ or } \\ \text{reverse: first element is } \overline{i+j} \text{ and last element is } \overline{i} \end{cases}
```

Direct: [1..5]; [2..3]; [5..8]; [8..17] Reverse: [16..13]; [13..10], [16..10]

Component: framed conserved interval that is not a union of framed conserved intervals

Direct: [1..5]; [2..3]; [5..8]; [8..17] Reverse: [16..13]; [13..10]



### Components are framed conserved intervals





The inversion distance can be computed in linear time, by efficiently identifying chains of framed conserved intervals (Bergeron *et al.*, 2002: Common intervals and sorting by reversals: a marriage of necessity)

An optimal inversion sorting scenario can be computed in subquadratic time. (Tannier and Sagot, 2004: Sorting by reversals in subquadratic time)

#### Canonical inversion distance of linear chromosomes

Given canonical linear chromosomes  $\mathbb A$  and  $\mathbb B :$ 

Add one new family (e.g. 0) and circularize chromosome  $\mathbb B$  into  $\mathbb B'=(0\ \mathbb B)$ 

$$\mathsf{d}_{\mathrm{INV}}(\mathbb{A},\mathbb{B}) = \min egin{cases} \mathsf{d}_{\mathrm{INV}}((0\,\,\mathbb{A}),\mathbb{B}') \ \mathsf{d}_{\mathrm{INV}}((ar{0}\,\,\mathbb{A}),\mathbb{B}') \end{cases}$$

#### Example:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{A} &= [\bar{5} \ 1 \ 2 \ \bar{3} \ 4] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{B} = [1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5] \\ \mathbb{B}' &= (0 \ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5) \\ d_{\mathrm{INV}}((0 \ \bar{5} \ 1 \ 2 \ \bar{3} \ 4), \mathbb{B}') &= 3 \\ d_{\mathrm{INV}}((\bar{0} \ \bar{5} \ 1 \ 2 \ \bar{3} \ 4), \mathbb{B}') &= 2 \\ d_{\mathrm{INV}}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) &= 2 \end{split}$$

1 What is the bottleneck of the running time of inversion sorting?

- A Finding inversions that fix bad components.
- B Finding split inversions.
- C Finding safe split inversions.
- D Finding inversions that merge bad components.

## DCJ and indels

DCJ: structural rearrangements



Modifying the content: insertions and deletions (indels)



#### Singular DCJ-indel model

Recall that  $\mathcal{G}_{\star} = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{A}) \cap \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{B})$ 

 $\mathsf{Let} \begin{cases} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{A}) \setminus \mathcal{G}_{\star} \text{ (set of genes exclusive to genome } \mathbb{A}) \\ \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{B}) \setminus \mathcal{G}_{\star} \text{ (set of genes exclusive to genome } \mathbb{B}) \end{cases}$ 

Restrictions for indel operations:

> At most one chromosome can be deleted or inserted at once

Only genes of set A can be deleted

 $\blacktriangleright$  Only genes of set  ${\cal B}$  can be inserted

### Singular DCJ-indel model

Given two singular genomes  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{B},...$ 

Singular DCJ-indel Distance Problem:	Compute the minimum number of DCJ and indel operations required to transform $\mathbb A$ into $\mathbb B.$
	Denote by $d^{\rm ID}_{\rm DCJ}(\mathbb{A},\mathbb{B})$ the DCJ-indel distance of $\mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{B}.$
Singular DCJ-indel Sorting Problem:	Find a sequence of $d_{\mathrm{DCJ}}^{\mathrm{ID}}(\mathbb{A},\mathbb{B})$ DCJ and indel operations that transform $\mathbb{A}$ into $\mathbb{B}$ .

First upper bound:

 $\mathsf{d}_{\mathrm{DCJ}}^{\mathrm{ID}}(\mathbb{A},\mathbb{B}) \leq \mathsf{d}_{\mathrm{DCJ}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathsf{c}},\mathbb{B}_{\mathsf{c}}) + |\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}|$ 

where  $\begin{cases} \mathbb{A}_c \text{ is the genome obtained from } \mathbb{A} \text{ by simply removing the genes of } \mathcal{A} \\ \mathbb{B}_c \text{ is the genome obtained from } \mathbb{B} \text{ by simply removing the genes of } \mathcal{B} \end{cases}$ 

#### Relational graph of singular genomes

Given two singular genomes A and B, their relational graph RG(A, B) = (V, E) is described as follows:

 V = V(ξ(A)) ∪ V(ξ(B)) : there is a vertex for each extremity of each gene in A and a vertex for each extremity of each gene in B

Each vertex v has a label  $\ell(v)$ , that corresponds to the extremity it represents.

2.  $E = E_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A}) \cup E_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}) \cup E_{\xi} \cup E_{\text{ID}}(\mathbb{A}) \cup E_{\text{ID}}(\mathbb{B})$ , where:

► Adjacency edges: 
$$\begin{cases} E_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A}) = \{uv : u, v \in V(\xi(\mathbb{A})) \text{ and } \ell(u)\ell(v) \in \alpha(\mathbb{A})\} \\ E_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}) = \{uv : u, v \in V(\xi(\mathbb{B})) \text{ and } \ell(u)\ell(v) \in \alpha(\mathbb{B})\} \end{cases}$$

▶ Extremity edges:  $E_{\xi} = \{uv : u \in V(\xi(\mathbb{A})) \text{ and } v \in V(\xi(\mathbb{B})) \text{ and } \ell(u) = \ell(v)\}$ 

▶ Indel edges: 
$$\begin{cases} E_{\text{ID}}(\mathbb{A}) = \{uv : \ell(u) = g^t \text{ and } \ell(v) = g^h \text{ and } g \in \mathcal{A} \} \\ E_{\text{ID}}(\mathbb{B}) = \{uv : \ell(u) = g^t \text{ and } \ell(v) = g^h \text{ and } g \in \mathcal{B} \} \end{cases}$$

### Relational graph of singular genomes



components can be indel-inclosing or indel-free

$$\begin{split} & \text{If } \mathbb{A}_{c} = \mathbb{B}_{c}, \\ & RG(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) \text{ has only 2-cycles and 1-paths:} \\ & 2n = 2|\mathcal{C}| + |\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}}| \quad \Rightarrow \quad n = |\mathcal{C}| + \frac{|\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}}|}{2} \end{split}$$

Every vertex has degree one or two: $RG(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B})$ is a collection of paths and cycles	
cycle with $k$ edges in $E_{\xi}$ : $k$ -cycle or $c_k$ path with $k$ edges n $E_{\xi}$ : $k$ -path or $p_k$	
if $k = 0$ the component is a <b>singleton</b>	
$\int \mathcal{C} = \{c_k : k \ge 2\} : \text{set of cycles } (k \text{ is even})$	
$S = \{c_k : k = 0\}$ : set of circular singletons	
$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{A}}=\{p_k:  ext{starts and ends in }\mathbb{A}\}$ :	
set of AA-paths (k is even)	
$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{BB}} = \{p_k:  ext{starts and ends in } \mathbb{B}\}$ :	
set of $\mathbb{BB}$ -paths ( $k$ is even)	
$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{AB}} = \{p_k : \text{starts in } \mathbb{A} \text{ and ends in } \mathbb{B}\} :$ set of $\mathbb{AB}$ -paths (k is odd)	
$ \mathcal{P}_{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{B}} $ is even $(E_{\xi}$ has $2n$ edges)	
$ \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{A}} + \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{B}} + \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}} =\kappa(\mathbb{A})+\kappa(\mathbb{B})$	

Otherwise, if  $\mathbb{A}_{c} \neq \mathbb{B}_{c}$ :  $n > |\mathcal{C}| + \frac{|\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}}|}{2}$ 

# Runs of indel-edges



Each run can be inserted/deleted at once

 $\Rightarrow$  Second upper bound:

$$\mathsf{d}_{\text{DCJ}}^{\text{ID}}(\mathbb{A},\mathbb{B}) \leq n - |\mathcal{C}| - \frac{|\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}}|}{2} + \sum_{C \in RG} \Lambda(C)$$

#### References

The Inversion Distance Problem

(Anne Bergeron, Julia Mixtacki and Jens Stoye)

In: Mathematics of Evolution and Phylogeny. Gascuel O (Ed); (2005)

Double Cut and Join with Insertions and Deletions (Marília D.V. Braga, Eyla Willing and Jens Stoye) JCB, Vol. 18, No. 9 (2011)