A reminder on NP-completeness

Kassian Kobert & Roland Wittler

Seminar "Karp's 21 problems" (SoSe 2024)

Outline

NP-completeness

Proving NP-completeness

Example: Clique (from Satisfiability)

Problems

Optimization problem: Find a solution optimizing an objective function, e.g., minimizing a cost or maximizing a score function. Decision problem: Yes/No question: Is there a solution?

Complexity: Optimization at least as hard as decision. (Otherwise we could optimize to decide.)

 \Rightarrow To show hardness, we usually use the decision problem.

Complexity classes

- P contains all problems for which a deterministic algorithm exists that can solve a problem instance in polynomial time.
- NP contains all problems for which a non-determ. algorithm exists that can solve a problem instance in polynomial time.
- NP contains all problems for which a deterministic algorithm exists that can verify whether a given certificate is a correct solution in polynomial time.

Or short:

P = efficiently solvable,

NP = efficiently verifiable.

Obviously $P \subseteq NP$, but: $P \stackrel{?}{=} NP$

Reducibility

A problem Q' is reducible to a problem Q if every instance of Q' can be formulated as an instance of Q so that the solution S of problem Q corresponds to the solution S' of problem Q'.



Reducibility

A problem Q' is reducible to a problem Q if every instance of Q' can be formulated as an instance of Q so that the solution S of problem Q corresponds to the solution S' of problem Q'.



Problem Q' is polynomial-time reducible to Q (shortly: $Q' \propto Q$) if the reduction takes only polynomial time.

NP-hardness, NP-completeness

A problem Q is NP-hard if $Q' \propto Q$ for every $Q' \in NP$. (...at least as hard as any problem in NP.)

A problem Q is NP-complete if it is NP-hard and $Q \in NP$. (...not harder than NP.)

- If some NP-complete problem is solvable in polynomial time, then P = NP.
- If some problem of NP is not solvable in polynomial time, then no NP-complete problem is solvable in polynomial time.

NP-hardness, NP-completeness



NP-hard P = NP = NP-complete

 $P \neq NP$

 $\mathsf{P}=\mathsf{N}\mathsf{P}$

[Seq-Anal. lecture notes]

NP-completeness

"Hard" does not mean "impossible"

- small instances
- run time heuristics
- exactness heuristics
- approximation
- restriction
- parametrization (fixed parameter tractability)

Outline

NP-completeness

Proving NP-completeness

Example: Clique (from Satisfiability)

Proving NP-completeness

To prove NP-completeness of a problem Q, you usually do:

- (A) Show that $Q \in NP$, i.e. poly-time verifiable.
- (B) Show that Q is NP-hard:
 - (1) Choose a known NP-complete problem Q'.
 - (2) Find a reduction f from Q' to Q.
 - (3) Show that f is poly-time.
 - (3) Show that for any instance I:

There is a solution for I on $Q' \Leftrightarrow$ there is a solution for f(I) on Q.

$$(\Rightarrow Q$$
 at least as hard as Q'

 \Rightarrow at least as hard as any problem in NP.)

Karp provides B.1 and B.2. Our exercise: A, B.3 and B.4.

Outline

NP-completeness

Proving NP-completeness

Example: Clique (from Satisfiability)

CLIQUE

INPUT: graph G, positive integer k

PROPERTY: G has a set of k mutually adjacent nodes.

CLIQUE variants

Maximum clique problem: output a maximum clique.

Weighted maximum clique problem: for a weighted graph, output a clique with maximum total weight.Maximal clique listing problem: list all maximal cliques.*k*-clique problem: output a clique with *k* vertices.

Clique decision problem: Boolean version of k-clique problem ("Karp's variant").

History of CLIQUE

- Both the clique listing problem and the term clique itself from the social sciences: find groups of people who all know each other.
- NP-hardness of decision problem: implicitely by Cook (1970) and also known to Reiter. Explicit proof by Karp (1972)
- hard to approximate (Garey & Johnson, 1978)
- no fixed-parameter tractable algorithm is possible (Chen et. al, 2006)
- many applications: Chemistry (molecular docking), bioinformatics (phylogenetics, protein structure prediction), sociology (social networks), mathematics, ...

SATISFIABILITY

INPUT: Clauses C_1 , C_2 ,..., C_p

PROPERTY: The conjunction of the given clauses is satisfiable; i.e., there i a set $S \subseteq \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \overline{x}_1, \overline{x}_2, \dots, \overline{x}_n\}$ such that a) S does not contain a complementary pair of literals and b) $S \cap C_k \neq \emptyset$, k=1,2,...,p.

SATISFIABILITY \propto CLIQUE

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{N} &= \{ \langle \sigma, i \rangle \mid \sigma \text{ is a literal and occurs in } \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{i}} \} \\ \mathbf{A} &= \{ \{ \langle \sigma, i \rangle, \langle \delta, j \rangle \} \mid i \neq j \text{ and } \sigma \neq \overline{\delta} \} \\ \mathbf{k} &= \mathbf{p}, \text{ the number of clauses.} \end{split}$$